

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| 10/000,442 | 12/04/2001 | Chun-Liang Lee | 3313-0431P-SP | 9178 |
| 2292 | 7590 08/08/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| | EWART KOLASCH | LIN, KELVIN Y | | |
| PO BOX 747 FALLS CHU | , JRCH, VA 22040-074 | 7 | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | |
| | , | | 2142 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 08/08/200 | 5 . |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Appl | ication No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|---|--|---|------------|--|--|--|
| | | 00,442 | LEE, CHUN-LIANG | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Exar | niner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Kelvi | | 2142 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this comm Period for Reply | nunication appears o | n the cover sheet w | vith the correspondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMI - Extensions of time may be available under the provis after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this of - If the period for reply specified above is less than this If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximu - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for Any reply received by the Office later than three mon earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(| UNICATION. cions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In communication. ty (30) days, a reply within the m statutory period will apply reply will, by statute, cause the ths after the mailing date of | no event, however, may a ne statutory minimum of th and will expire SIX (6) MC he application to become | a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communicates ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | ation. | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) | filed on <u>09 May 200</u> | <u>05</u> . | | | | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. | 2b)⊠ This action | n is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condit | ion for allowance ex | cept for formal ma | tters, prosecution as to the merit | s is | | | |
| closed in accordance with the pro- | actice under <i>Ex part</i> | e Quayle, 1935 C. | D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) <u>1-12,14,15 and 18</u> is/ard | e pending in the app | lication. | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) | s/are withdrawn froi | n consideration. | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12,14,15 and 18</u> is/ar | | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to | | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to res | striction and/or elect | ion requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by | the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| | 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any o | • | • | · · | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) include | = | • | -, , | | | | |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objecte | a to by the Examine | er. Note the attach | ed Office Action of form P1O-152 | 2 . | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a cla | im for foreign priorit | y under 35 U.S.C. | § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None o | | | • | | | | |
| 1.☐ Certified copies of the prio | • | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the prio | • | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies | | | n received in this National Stage | | | | |
| application from the Intern- * See the attached detailed Office a | | | nt received | | | | |
| See the attached detailed Office a | ction for a list of the | certified copies ne | n received. | | | | |
| A44.a.b | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | | 4) 🗍 Interview | Summary (PTO-413) | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revie | | Paper No | o(s)/Mail Date | | | | |
| Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-144 Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 9 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) Notice of Other: _ | f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |
| S. Patent and Trademark Office TOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) | Office Action Su | ımmary | Part of Paper No./Mail Date 2009 | 50803 | | | |

Detailed Action

Response to Arguments

Application's argue with respect to claims 1-12, 14, 15, and 18 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Response to Amended Claims

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1-3, 5-11, 14, 15, and 18, are rejected under 35 U.S.C 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kumar et al., (US Patent No. 5970069) in view of Fukuhara et al., (US Patent No. 6728908).
- 2. Regarding claim 1, Kumar teaches features of transmission management device of a server implemented with a serial port RS232 and a bus, the transmission management device comprising:
 - a transmission system connected with an independent sub-system
 of the server for receiving and storing data and commands of the

Art Unit: 2142

server and for transmitting the data and the commands of the server to the independent sub-system (Kumar, Fig.2a, col.4, l.58-67,col. 1-6, col.8, l.17-39);

an I/O system connected with the external system for transmitting
the data and the commands of the external system to the
transmission system and the control system, and for transmitting
the data and the commands of the server to the external system
(Kumar, col.5, I.19-36);

Although Kumar at the above mentioned prior art teaches

 a control system connected with the transmission system for receiving data and commands from an external system and interrupt signals of the server and for transmitting data, and the commands from the external system and the interrupt signals of the server to the independent sub-system through a bus but not I2C bus (Kumar, Fig.2a, col.4, I.32-43);

Fukuhara teaches a control system related to I2C bus controller operates as either transmitter or receiver incorporates into ASIC that connects to I2C bus and PCI bus and communicate among ASIC, I2C node and PCI bus (Fukuhara, col.2, I.23-67). The command message and interrupt signal between PCI component and I2C node thru I2C bus. (Fukuhara, col.7, I.16-47, col.45-60).

Furthermore, Fukuhara teaches an I2C bus-switching device on the I2C bus

Application/Control Number: 10/000,442

Art Unit: 2142

for switching a connection of the control system to a different independent subsystem, thereby transmitting signals on the I2C bus to the different independent sub-system via the I2C bus (Fukuhara, col.8, I.29-44, in which the command messages switch between I2C and PCI corresponds to the switching connection of I2C controller to PCI, which is an independent sub system via I2C bus).

Page 4

Therefore, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the teachings of Fukuhara for I2C control system related to the switch between PCI and I2C bus, motivation would be for a transmission management device implemented with a serial port RS232 and I2C bus incorporated easily as taught by Fukuhara.

- 3. Regarding claim 2, Kumar further discloses the transmission management device of claim 1, wherein the transmission system comprises:
 - a connecting unit connected with the independent sub-system for connection with the independent sub-system and for transmitting the data and the commands of the server (Kumar, Fig.2a, col.4, I.53-57);
 - a control unit connected with the connecting unit for temporarily storing and converting the data and the commands to asynchronous signals, for transmitting the asynchronous signals to the connecting unit, and for transmitting the interrupt signal to a CPU (Kumar, col.6, l.48-55, col.8, l.3-5, col.27, l.24); and
 - a decoding unit connected with the control system for receiving the

Application/Control Number: 10/000,442

Art Unit: 2142

data transmitted from the control system and for transmitting the information to the control unit after decoding (Kumar, col.8, I.45-57)

Page 5

- 4. Regarding claim 3, Kumar further discloses the transmission management device of claim 2, wherein the connecting unit is a serial port RS232 connecting device (Kumar, col.1, I.20, col.4, I.44).
- 5. Regarding claim 5, Kumar further discloses the transmission management device of claim 1, wherein the control system comprises:
 - a network connector for connecting with the external system
 (Kumar, col.4, l. 53-57);
 - a system control unit connected with the network connector for transmitting the data and the commands of the external system and the interrupt signals to the transmission system and to the independent sub-system through the I2C bus (Kumar, Fig.15, col.6, I.56-67); and
 - a memory unit connected with the system control unit for storing the data and the commands of the external system (Kumar, col.7, I. 25-40).
- 6. Regarding claim 6, Kumar further discloses the transmission management device of claim 5, wherein the system control unit is a SOC (System On Chip) (Kumar, col.5, I.17-20).
- 7. Regarding claim 7, Kumar further discloses the transmission management device

Application/Control Number: 10/000,442 Page 6

Art Unit: 2142

of claim 5, wherein the memory unit is a SDRAM (Synchronous Dynamic Random Access Memory) (Kumar, col.6, l.61).

- 8. Regarding claim 8, Kumar further discloses the transmission management device of claim 5, wherein a PCI Bus is installed between the network connector and the system control unit (Kumar, col.7, l.1-6).
- 9. Regarding claim 9, Kumar further discloses the transmission management device of claim 5, wherein a memory bus is installed between the control unit and the memory unit (Kumar, col.7, l.6-10).
- 10. Regarding claim 10, Kumar further discloses the transmission management device of claim 5, wherein a data bus is installed between the transmission system and the control system (Kumar, col.6, l.2-9).
- 11. Regarding claim 11, Kumar further discloses the transmission management device of claim 1, wherein the I/O system includes:
 - a receiving unit connected with the external system (Kumar, Fig.5, col.9, I.9-24))
 - an I/O unit connected with the receiving unit for receiving the data and the commands of the external system and transmitting the data and the commands to the transmission system and the control system (Kumar, col.8, I.1-39); and
 - a ROM unit connected with the bus for storing the data and the commands of the server (Kumar, Fig.5, component 164).

12. Regarding claim 14, Kumar further discloses the transmission management device of claim 2, wherein the interrupt signals are transmitted to the CPU by parallel connections (Kumar, col.7, l.8-10).

Page 7

- 13. Regarding claim 15, Kumar further discloses the transmission management device of claim 2, wherein the interrupt signals are transmitted to the CPU by serial connections (Kumar, Fig.3, component 70, col.7, l.6-7).
- 14. Regarding claim 18, Kumar further discloses the transmission management device of claim 5, wherein the system control unit transmits the data and the commands of the external system to the transmission system through the decoding unit (Kumar, col. 5, I.38-41).
- 15. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kumar in view of Fukuhara and further in view of Liang et al., (US PG Pub. No. 2002/0196853).
- 16. Regarding claims 4, Kumar differs from the claimed invention in that it does not indicate the transmission management device of claim 2, wherein the control unit is an UART (Universal Asynchronous Receiver/Transmitter) with a FIFO (First-In-First-Out) function;

Linag teaches that in AV310 includes I2C serial bus connect to RS232 and two general purpose UART's which are asynchronous mode, full duplex, double buffered with 8 bytes FIFO UART(Linag, [0222], [0275], and [0355]).

Therefore, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at

the time the invention was made to have combined the teachings of Linag for I2C connect RS232 with the control unit of UART with a FIFO function, motivation would be for a transmission management device implemented with a serial port RS232 and I2C bus to enhance the control unit as taught by Linag.

- 17. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kumar in view of Fukuhara and further in view of Tran et al., (US Patent No. 6145085).
- 18. Regarding claims 12, Kumar differs from the claimed invention in that it does not indicate the transmission management device of claim 11; wherein the I/O unit is a super I/O.

Tran teaches that in the system management bus control implement the I2C (inter-integrated circuit protocol), and the PCI/ISA (RS232) based machine provides a number of PCI and ISA slots, The ISA bus also couple the ISA bridge to a Super I/O.

Therefore, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the teachings of Tran for I2C connect PCI/ISA and couple to Super I/O, motivation would be for a transmission management device implemented with a serial port RS232 and I2C bus to enhance the I/O unit as taught by Tran.

Application/Control Number: 10/000,442 Page 9

Art Unit: 2142

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kelvin Lin whose telephone number is 571-272-3898.

The examiner can normally be reached on Flexible 4/9/5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Andrew Caldwell can be reached on 571-272-3868. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KYL 7/28/2005

> KAMINI SHAH PRIMARY EXAMINER

Application/Control Number: 10/000,442

Art Unit: 2142

Page 10